## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/553,404	BRENNER ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID L. SORKIN	1797			

	DAVID L. SORKIN	1/9/			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO		
xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee was been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee noter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et fort in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, lay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
	" 'th 07 OFD 44 07	The state of the state of the state of			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was the filed was a filed on</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I			cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo					
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).		•			
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov	rided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attach/enclosed.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
<ol> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ol>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. 🔲 Other:					

/DAVID L. SORKIN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797